

CORD

The Disabled Veterans Tax Impacts Over Half a Million Veterans in the United States

Item Type	House Joint Minority Staff Report
Download date	2026-03-05 22:43:24
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14300/1416



UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM – MINORITY STAFF
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION
NOVEMBER 2003

**THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX IMPACTS OVER HALF A MILLION VETERANS
IN THE UNITED STATES**

PREPARED FOR

DEMOCRATIC LEADER NANCY PELOSI

REP. LANE EVANS, RANKING MINORITY MEMBER,
HOUSE VETERANS' COMMITTEE

The Disabled Veterans Tax Impacts over Half a Million Veterans in the United States

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
The Disabled Veterans Tax.....	2
Legislative Efforts to Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax	3
Objective and Methodology.....	4
Findings.....	5
The Disabled Veterans Tax Affects over Half a Million Veterans in the United States	5
The Disabled Veterans Tax Costs Veterans in the United States Billions of Dollars Annually.....	5
Legislation to Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Have Significant Benefits in the United States	6
The Republican Proposal to Reduce the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Provide Only Limited Help for Veterans.....	6
Conclusion	6
Appendix : State-by-State Impact of Disabled Veterans Tax Proposals	7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Every year, hundreds of thousands of disabled military veterans pay a “Disabled Veterans Tax” that effectively forces them to give up their disability benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs. At the request of Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi and Rep. Lane Evans, the ranking minority member of the House Veterans’ Committee, this report analyzes the impact of this regressive tax on veterans in the United States.

Under current law, veterans with 20 years of military service are entitled to receive retirement benefits from the Department of Defense. In addition, veterans who incurred service-related disabilities are entitled to receive disability compensation benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs. If a veteran has both 20 years of military service and a service-related disability, however, the veteran’s military retirement benefit is reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the amount the veteran receives in disability compensation. This reduction in the veteran’s retirement benefits is commonly known as the “Disabled Veterans Tax.” Effectively, the disability compensation received by these veterans is taxed at a 100% rate.

The report finds that the Disabled Veterans Tax has a significant impact on veterans throughout the United States. Specifically, it finds:

- **Over half a million retired veterans in the United States are subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax.** Approximately 560,000 veterans in the United States lose military retirement benefits due to the Disabled Veterans Tax. Almost one out of every three retired veterans in the nation is subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax.
- **The Disabled Veterans Tax costs veterans in the United States billions of dollars annually.** Nationwide, veterans subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax lose \$3 billion in benefits annually. This is an average of almost \$5,400 per affected veteran in the United States. For these veterans, this amounts to a reduction in military benefits of 24%.
- **Legislation supported by Democratic Leader Pelosi and Rep. Evans to end the Disabled Veterans Tax would help many more veterans than legislation supported by House Republicans.** Democratic Leader Pelosi and Rep. Evans have cosponsored the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003 (H.R. 303). Passage of this bill would end the Disabled Veterans Tax for any veteran with over 20 years of military service, immediately restoring full benefits to 560,000 veterans in the United States in 2004. In contrast, the legislation supported by House Republicans would phase in the restoration of benefits to only 170,000 veterans in the United States. H.R. 303 would thus help over three times as many veterans as the Republican proposal.

THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX

Disabled military retirees receive benefits through two agencies, the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Veterans who have served for at least 20 years in the military receive standard retirement benefits from the Department of Defense. These benefits are determined by multiplying 2.5% of the service member's final basic pay by the number of years of service. Thus, a service member who has served for 20 years would receive annual retirement benefits equal to 50% of their final basic pay.¹

Veterans who have service-related disabilities also receive compensation through the VA. The goal of these payments is to compensate the veterans for the reduction in earnings capacity resulting from disabilities incurred during military service.² These payments are based on a scale of disability severity. This scale ranges from 10% disabled to 100% disabled. Average monthly disability payments in 2002 ranged from approximately \$100 for a veteran with a 10% disability to \$2,100 for a veteran who was 100% disabled.³

Hundreds of thousands of retired service members have served at least 20 years and qualify for military retirement benefits and VA disability benefits. An 1891 law, however, bars "concurrent receipt" of both military retirement benefits and disability benefits. As a result, for veterans who receive both military retirement benefits from DOD and disability benefits from the VA, military retirement benefits are reduced dollar-for-dollar for each dollar of VA disability benefits.⁴ This policy is known as "the Disabled Veterans Tax."

¹ Congressional Research Service, *Military Retirement: Major Legislative Issues* (July 10, 2003). In addition, retirees with less than 20 years service can also receive disability retirement benefits if they have either (1) completed at least 8 years of creditable military service and received a physical disability rating of 30% or greater from an evaluation board or (2) incurred a disability resulting from active duty. There are an estimated 100,000 of these service members currently receiving disability retirement.

² GAO, *Military and Veterans Benefits: Observations on the Concurrent Receipt of Military Retirement and VA Disability Compensation* (Mar. 2003) (GAO-03-575T). Additional benefits are paid to veterans who are at least 30% disabled and have dependents and to very severely disabled veterans who qualify for "special monthly compensation."

³ *Id.*

⁴ Disabled retirees can choose to have either their military retirement benefits reduced by the amount of their VA disability benefits, or their VA disability benefits reduced by the amount of their military retirement benefit. Because military retirement benefits are taxable, while VA disability benefits are not, the vast majority of retirees choose to reduce their military retirement benefits.

LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS TO ELIMINATE THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX

Periodically, Congress has made efforts to end the restrictions on concurrent receipt of military retiree and VA disability benefits. Last Congress, for example, both the House and the Senate passed legislation to restore benefits to some or all veterans.⁵ These efforts were thwarted, however, when the Bush Administration threatened to veto the entire DOD authorization legislation if either proposal was included in the final legislation. As a result, only extremely limited relief from the Disabled Veterans Tax could be enacted.⁶

Frustrated by Congress' repeated failures to repeal the Disabled Veterans Tax, members of the House of Representatives, led by Democratic Leader Pelosi, Rep. Evans, and Rep. Jim Marshall (D-GA), launched a renewed legislative effort to end the tax in the 108th Congress. The goal of this effort is to enact the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003 (H.R. 303). This legislation would eliminate the prohibition on concurrent receipt of veterans' retirement and disability benefits. H.R. 303 currently has 373 cosponsors in the House.

Republican leaders in Congress oppose H.R. 303 and have not allowed a vote on the bill despite its broad support.⁷ In response, Rep. Marshall filed a "discharge petition" on June 12, 2003, that would force House leaders to allow a vote on the

⁵ The House legislation would have allowed veterans with disability ratings of 60% or greater to receive both military retirement benefits and VA disability benefits. This legislation would have restored benefits to an estimated 111,000 veterans. The Senate legislation would have allowed all disabled veterans to receive both military retirement pay and VA disability benefits. See Congressional Research Service, *Concurrent Receipt of Military Retirement and VA Disability Benefits: Budgetary Issues* (Nov. 15, 2002).

⁶ The final version of the legislation provided for special pay, known as Combat-Related Special Compensation, not subject to the offset only for veterans who suffered an injury for which they received a Purple Heart or who had a severe disability rated at 60% or more resulting from armed conflict, hazardous service, training activities that simulate war, or war-related circumstances. H.R. 4546, *FY 2003 DOD Authorization Act* (Nov. 2002). This law requires DOD to make a complicated calculation concerning each disability of each disabled retiree to determine which disabilities are combat related and therefore qualify for the special pay. The final legislation was so narrowly crafted that it allows only an estimated 33,000 veterans to receive both military retirement and disability benefits.⁶ And it is so complicated that it may take years for retirees to prove their entitlement to special pay. For example, in cases involving a disability resulting from a retiree's collision with an armored tank, payment of special compensation will depend upon whether the tank hit the retiree or the retiree hit the tank. Department of Defense, *Combat-Related Special Compensation (CRSC) Web Site* (2003) (online at <https://www.dmdc.osd.mil/crsc/>).

⁷ House Speaker Dennis Hastert, *Transcript of Press Conference* (June 26, 2003) (online at <http://releases.usnewswire.com/GetRelease.asp?id=137-06272003>).

The Disabled Veterans Tax Impacts over Half a Million Veterans in the United States

bill.⁸ If the discharge petition receives the signatures of 218 House members (a majority of the House), House rules require a vote on the legislation. There are presently 200 Democratic members, two Republican members, and one independent member of the House who have signed the discharge petition. Democratic Leader Pelosi and Rep. Evans have both signed the discharge petition.

In October 2003, in an effort to avert passage of H.R. 303, Republican leaders in the House announced their own plan to reduce the burden of the disabled veterans tax.⁹ Under this proposal, veterans with disability ratings of 50% or more would have their portion of the Disabled Veterans Tax eliminated over a ten-year period. Because of this long phase-in period, reductions of the tax would be very small in the early years of the proposal, and these veterans would not receive their full benefits until 2014.¹⁰ The legislation would do nothing for most veterans currently paying the Disabled Veterans Tax because it provides no relief to veterans who have disabilities rated 50% or less.

Veterans groups have criticized this Republican proposal because it fails to eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax for all veterans. According to the American Legion, “creating a two-tiered benefits system, that favors one group of service-disabled military retirees over another, is not the answer.”¹¹

OBJECTIVE AND METHODOLOGY

This report was requested by Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi, who represents the 8th Congressional District of California in the U.S. House of Representatives, and by Rep. Lane Evans, the Ranking Minority Member of the House Veterans’ Committee, who represents the 17th Congressional District of Illinois in the U.S. House of Representatives. Democratic Leader Pelosi and Rep. Evans requested this report in order to determine (1) the impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax on veterans; (2) the potential benefits for veterans from enactment of H.R. 303; and (3) the benefits that disabled veterans would receive under the Republican proposal compared to the benefits that they would receive under H.R. 303.

To conduct this analysis, the Special Investigations Division obtained and analyzed data from the Office of the Actuary of the Department of Defense. The

⁸ Discharge Petition 108-002.

⁹ *Vets Could Collect More Benefits*, Washington Post (Oct. 17, 2003).

¹⁰ In addition, the proposal would expand the 2002 legislation passed by Congress, providing that all veterans with a disability resulting from armed conflict receive Combat-Related Special Compensation.

¹¹ American Legion, *Legion: Disability Tax Compromise Unsatisfactory* (Oct. 16, 2003)

data includes zip code–level data on the total number of military retirees who are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax. It also includes data on the monthly cost of the tax. Separate data obtained from the DOD actuary included zip code–level data estimating the impact of the Republican proposal on disabled veterans. Using these databases, the Special Investigations Division analyzed the impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax on veterans in the nation and compared the benefits to veterans from H.R. 303 with those provided by the House Republican proposal.¹²

FINDINGS

The Disabled Veterans Tax Affects over Half a Million Veterans in the United States

There are 1.9 million veterans in the United States who receive military retirement benefits from serving in the military for at least 20 years. Of these veterans, 563,000 veterans — 30% — also receive disability benefits from the VA and are subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax.

The Disabled Veterans Tax Costs Veterans in the United States Billions of Dollars Annually

Nationwide, the Disabled Veterans Tax costs veterans \$250 million each month in lost disability benefits. This is equivalent to \$3 billion annually.

On a per-veteran basis, the Disabled Veterans Tax costs each of the 560,000 impacted veterans in the United States an average of almost \$5,400 annually. The average retired veteran in the United States receives retirement benefits of approximately \$17,000 annually. The Disabled Veterans Tax reduces benefits for affected veterans in the United States by an estimated 24%.

Appendix 1 contains information on the effect of the Disabled Veterans Tax in each state. The states with the most retired veterans affected by the Disabled Veterans Tax are Texas (65,229 veterans), Florida (57,296 veterans), California (53,215 veterans), Virginia (35,353 veterans), and Georgia (26,538 veterans).

¹² The House Republican proposal would provide two benefits to two groups of veterans: those who would receive Combat-Related Special Compensation, and those who would receive relief from the disabled veterans tax. The DOD Actuary provided zip code–level data on the veterans affected by the disabled veterans tax, but could provide only national-level data on the veterans who would receive Combat-Related Special Compensation under the House Republican proposal. The analysis thus assumes that the distribution of these veterans by state is similar to the distribution of veterans who would receive relief from the Disabled Veterans Tax under the proposal. Electronic mail from DOD Actuary to Committee on Government Reform, Minority Staff (Oct. 21, 2003).

Legislation To Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Have Significant Benefits in the United States

Legislation cosponsored by Democratic Leader Pelosi, Rep. Evans, and over 370 other members of the House, the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003 (H.R. 303), would eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax for all retired veterans with at least 20 years of service. Passage of H.R. 303 would result in increased benefits for 560,000 veterans in the United States. These veterans would receive an increase in benefits of \$3 billion annually.

The Republican Proposal to Reduce the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Provide Only Limited Help for Veterans

House Republicans have proposed legislation that would phase out the Disabled Veterans Tax for a limited group of veterans over ten years. DOD data indicate that in 2004, this proposal would provide only \$820 million in relief from the Disabled Veterans Tax for only 170,000 veterans in the United States. Even in 2014, when the legislation is fully phased in, the legislation would provide only limited relief. Among the veterans in the United States who are currently subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax, only 170,000 would be entitled to relief in 2014, and the total relief they receive would be \$2.2 billion.¹³

Compared to the Republican proposal, H.R. 303 provides significantly more relief from the Disabled Veterans Tax. Nationwide, H.R. 303 would provide benefits for over three times as many veterans as the Republican proposal. In dollar terms, H.R. 303 would provide a total benefit of almost four times the size of the benefit provided under the Republican proposal in 2004.

CONCLUSION

An 1891 law prevents disabled veterans from receiving both military retirement and VA service-connected disability compensation. As a result, hundreds of thousands of military veterans are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax, effectively reducing their benefits by billions of dollars each year. This analysis finds that 560,000 disabled veterans in the United States are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax. Democratic Leader Pelosi and Rep. Evans have supported efforts to pass H.R. 303, legislation that would eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax for these veterans. H.R. 303 is substantially more generous to veterans than the legislation supported by House Republicans.

¹³ This figure is in 2004 dollars. Cost of living increases would increase the absolute amount received by veterans, but the inflation-adjusted value of these payments would remain the same.

The Disabled Veterans Tax Impacts over Half a Million Veterans in the United States

Appendix: State-by-State Impact of Disabled Veterans Tax Proposals

State	Helped by Democratic Proposal	Helped by Republican Proposal	<i>Excluded by Republican Proposal</i>	State (cont'd)	Helped by Democratic Proposal	Helped by Republican Proposal	<i>Excluded by Republican Proposal</i>
AL	17,150	4,396	<i>12,754</i>	MT	2,620	834	<i>1,786</i>
AK	3,750	1,660	<i>2,090</i>	NE	4,411	1,509	<i>2,902</i>
AZ	15,086	4,395	<i>10,691</i>	NV	7,397	2,053	<i>5,344</i>
AR	7,304	2,853	<i>4,451</i>	NH	2,797	843	<i>1,954</i>
CA	53,215	15,101	<i>38,114</i>	NJ	4,263	1,257	<i>3,006</i>
CO	15,048	4,235	<i>10,813</i>	NM	6,751	2,342	<i>4,409</i>
CT	1,597	431	<i>1,166</i>	NY	6,833	1,827	<i>5,006</i>
DC	706	255	<i>451</i>	NC	25,890	9,233	<i>16,657</i>
DE	1,990	502	<i>1,488</i>	ND	1,326	388	<i>938</i>
FL	57,296	15,420	<i>41,876</i>	OH	9,617	2,249	<i>7,368</i>
GA	26,538	7,134	<i>19,404</i>	OK	11,941	4,784	<i>7,157</i>
HI	4,221	1,224	<i>2,997</i>	OR	5,325	1,921	<i>3,404</i>
ID	3,398	1,012	<i>2,386</i>	PA	9,238	2,600	<i>6,638</i>
IL	7,048	1,716	<i>5,332</i>	RI	1,179	369	<i>810</i>
IN	5,378	1,366	<i>4,012</i>	SC	14,892	4,477	<i>10,415</i>
IW	2,139	605	<i>1,534</i>	SD	2,042	614	<i>1,428</i>
KS	5,766	1,434	<i>4,332</i>	TN	13,481	3,958	<i>9,523</i>
KY	7,853	2,582	<i>5,271</i>	TX	65,229	22,631	<i>42,598</i>
LA	7,636	2,465	<i>5,171</i>	UT	3,122	851	<i>2,271</i>
ME	3,257	1,219	<i>2,038</i>	VT	713	252	<i>461</i>
MD	11,478	3,166	<i>8,312</i>	VA	35,353	9,733	<i>25,620</i>
MA	4,276	1,211	<i>3,065</i>	WA	25,415	8,001	<i>17,414</i>
MI	5,225	1,308	<i>3,917</i>	WV	2,708	1,059	<i>1,649</i>
MN	3,754	1,220	<i>2,534</i>	WI	4,038	1,402	<i>2,636</i>
MS	6,741	2,001	<i>4,740</i>	WY	1,396	399	<i>997</i>
MO	8,663	2,257	<i>6,406</i>				